

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,272	06/27/2003		Thomas J. Laughlin	117645-1033 8285	
7	7590	06/21/2006		EXAMINER	
Michael A. O'Neil, P.C.				DOAN, ROBYN KIEU	
5949 Sherry La Suite 820	ane			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75225				3732	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/609,272	LAUGHLIN, THOMAS J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robyn Doan	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	<u>ıne 2003</u> .					
· <u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	$\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/8/03, 2/17/04.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCain (IDS cited reference '593) in view of Josefsson (U.S. Pat. # 4,537,120).

With regard to claims 1 and 9, McCain discloses an apparatus for applying sun tanning lotion to the entire human body (fig. 5) comprising a structure defining a coating chamber (6) for receiving the entire body of a person to be tanned, a reservoir (14) for receiving the sun tanning lotion in liquid form, at least one nozzle (58) positioning within the coating chamber for spraying the lotion onto the skin of the human body, the coating chamber further having apparatus (wall 23) for containing at least part of the spray from the nozzle which is not received on the skin of the person, apparatus for disposing of the contained spray (34). McCain further show an apparatus for circulating air (18), however McCain fails to show the apparatus for circulating air independently of the liquid discharged from the nozzle and thereby containing the remainder of the spray from the nozzle which is not received on the skin of the person. Josefsson discloses a paint spray booth enclosure (fig. 1) comprising a coating chamber (A, B), an apparatus

Application/Control Number: 10/609,272 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

for circulating air independently (13, col. 4, lines 12-15) and thereby containing the remainder of the spray. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the circulating air apparatus as taught by Josefsson into the device of McCain for the purpose of recirculating air and entraining the paint material back to the chamber for reuse. It is noted that Josefsson is nonanalogous art, however, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See In re Oetiker, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the circulating air apparatus of Josefsson solves the same problem of drawing the remainder of the paint back into the coating chamber. In regard to claim 2, McCain fails to show at least one filter for removing excess pray from the circulating air, however, Josefsson shows at least one filter for removing excess spray from the circulating air (14, col. 4, lines 46-49). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the filter as taught by Josefsson into the device of McCain in order to remove excess paint from the circulating air. In regard to claim 3, McCain further shows an apparatus (40) for pressurizing the interior of the reservoir and thereby discharging liquid from the reservoir through the nozzle. In regard to claims 5-7, McCain in view of Josefsson are capable to perform the substantially claimed method steps.

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank (IDS cited reference '509) in view of McCain and Josefsson.

With regard to claim 4, Frank discloses an apparatus for coating substantially the entire body of a person with a predetermined human skin coating material in liquid form (figs. 1-2) comprising a reservoir (19) for receiving the predetermined human skin coating liquid (col. 1, line 6), at least one nozzle (21) for discharging the liquid onto the skin of the person, apparatus for causing the predetermined skin coating liquid to flow from the reservoir (87) through the nozzle for discharging in the from of a spray; Frank also shows apparatus (col. 2, lines 56-58) for continuously moving the nozzle in a substantially horizontal plane relative the body of a person. Frank fails to show an enclosure defining a coating chamber, the nozzle being within the chamber, apparatus or containing excess spray from the nozzle, apparatus for disposing the contained excess spray, apparatus for circulating air through the coating chamber independently and at least one of the filter for removing excess spray fro the circulating air. McCain discloses an apparatus for applying sun tanning lotion to the entire human body (fig. 5) comprising a structure defining a coating chamber (6) for receiving the entire body of a person to be tanned, at least one nozzle (58) positioning within the coating chamber for spraying the lotion onto the skin of the human body, the coating chamber further having apparatus (wall 23) for containing at least part of the spray from the nozzle which is not received on the skin of the person, apparatus for disposing of the contained spray (34). McCain further show an apparatus for circulating air (18). Josefsson discloses a paint spray booth enclosure (fig. 1) comprising a coating chamber (A, B), an apparatus for

Art Unit: 3732

circulating air independently (13, col. 4, lines 12-15) and thereby containing the remainder of the spray; Josefsson also shows at least one filter for removing excess spray from the circulating air (14, col. 4, lines 46-49). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the enclosure as taught by McCain into the device of Frank in order to provide privacy to the user and it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the circulating air apparatus as taught by Josefsson into the device of Frank and McCain for the purpose of recirculating air and entraining the paint material back to the chamber for reuse. In regard to claim 8, Frank in view of McCain and Josefsson are capable to perform the substantially claimed method steps.

## Conclusion

The drawings filed 6/27/03 have been approved by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3732

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Robyn Doan Examiner

Art Unit 3732